

DISTRIBUTION OF THE TIME TO EXPLOSION FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL DIFFUSIONS *

IOANNIS KARATZAS [†]

JOHANNES RUF [‡]

March 26, 2013

Dedicated to Professor Marc Yor

Abstract

We study the distribution of the time to explosion for one-dimensional diffusions. We relate this question to computing the expectations of suitable nonnegative local martingales, and to the distributions of related diffusions with unit variance. Moreover, we characterize the distribution function of the time to explosion as the minimal solution to a certain Cauchy problem for an appropriate parabolic differential equation; this leads to alternative characterizations of Feller's criterion for explosions. We discuss in detail several examples for which it is possible to obtain analytic expressions for the corresponding distribution of the time to explosion, using the methodologies developed in the paper.

Keywords and Phrases: Explosion; Feller test; local martingale; Girsanov theorem; pathwise solutions of SDEs; minimal solutions of Cauchy problems for parabolic PDEs; Bessel processes.

AMS 2000 Subject Classifications: 35C99; 35K10; 60J35; 60J60; 60H10; 60H30.

1 Introduction and summary

Precise conditions for whether or not a one-dimensional diffusion process explodes in finite time have been developed, most notably by William Feller. To the best of our knowledge, and rather surprisingly, the distribution of the explosion time has rarely – if at all – been the subject of investigation. With this work we hope to help close this gap or, at the very least, to narrow it.

We start by introducing the framework of this paper and by discussing the Feller test in Section 2. Then, in Section 3, we recall and generalize a result of McKean (1969) that associates the distribution of an explosion time to the expectation of a related nonnegative local martingale. This approach can be

*We thank Drs. Peter Carr, Robert Fernholz, Tomoyuki Ichiba, Soumik Pal, Camelia Pop, Paavo Salminen, Mykhaylo Shkolnikov and Phillip Whitman, for their insightful remarks that improved the manuscript. We are greatly indebted to Professor Marc Yor for suggesting this problem to us, and dedicate the paper to him with affection and respect.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Columbia University (E-mail: ik@math.columbia.edu), and INTECH Investment Management, One Palmer Square, Suite 441, Princeton, NJ 08542 (E-mail: ik@enhanced.com). Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-DMS-09-05754.

[‡]Oxford-Man Institute of Quantitative Finance and Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, United Kingdom (E-mail: johannes.ruf@oxford-man.ox.ac.uk).

considered a transformation of the probability measure. We also discuss a transformation of space, based on the ideas of Lamperti (1964), Doss (1977) and Sussmann (1978).

We study analytic properties of the distribution function in Section 4, and derive alternative characterizations of Feller's criterion for explosions. In particular, we characterize the tail $\mathbb{P}_\xi(S > t)$ of the probability distribution function of the time-to-explosion S , viewed as a function of time t and starting position ξ , as the smallest nonnegative solution of an appropriate partial differential equation of parabolic type. Finally, in Section 5 we provide several examples, to which we apply the methodologies of Sections 3 and 4.

Although there does not seem to be much work on the distribution of the time to explosion, there exists a huge literature on the computation of first-passage times by diffusions. We refer to Pitman and Yor (2003) and Section 2 in Göing-Jaesche and Yor (2003) for several pointers to this literature.

2 Some essentials of one-dimensional diffusions

We fix an open interval $I = (\ell, r)$ with $-\infty \leq \ell < r \leq \infty$ and consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = \mathfrak{s}(X(t))(dW(t) + \mathfrak{b}(X(t))dt), \quad X(0) = \xi, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\xi \in I$ and $W(\cdot)$ denotes a Brownian motion. We shall assume that $\mathfrak{b} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{s} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ are given measurable functions that satisfy the condition

$$\int_K \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} + \left| \frac{\mathfrak{b}(y)}{\mathfrak{s}(y)} \right| \right) dy < \infty, \quad \text{for every compact set } K \subset I. \quad (2.2)$$

In other words, we are assuming that both $1/\mathfrak{s}^2(\cdot)$ and the “local mean/variance ratio” function

$$\mathfrak{f}(\cdot) := \frac{\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)}{\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)} = \frac{\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(\cdot)} \quad (2.3)$$

are locally integrable over I . From the same arguments as in Engelbert and Schmidt (1984, 1991) or Theorem 5.5.15 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991), it follows that the stochastic differential equation (2.1) admits a weak solution, unique in the sense of the probability distribution and defined up until the “explosion time”

$$S := \inf \{t \geq 0 : X(t) \notin (\ell, r)\} = \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} S_n, \quad S_n := \inf \{t \geq 0 : X(t) \notin (\ell_n, r_n)\}, \quad (2.4)$$

for any monotone sequences $\{\ell_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\ell < \ell_n < r_n < r$ and $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \ell_n = \ell, \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} r_n = r$. The endpoints of the interval $I = (\ell, r)$ are absorbing for $X(\cdot)$, that is, we suppose that on $\{S < \infty\}$ the process stays after S at the endpoint where it exits.

We shall suppose that this weak solution has been constructed on some filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathfrak{F}(t)\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$ which satisfies the usual conditions of right-continuity and augmentation by $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets. Because of uniqueness in the sense of the probability distribution, the state process $X(\cdot)$ in this solution has the strong Markov property. A thorough exposition and study of such equations appears in the monograph by Cherny and Engelbert (2005).

2.1 The Feller test

A well-known criterion to check whether the diffusion $X(\cdot)$ may explode or not (to wit, whether we have $\mathbb{P}(S < \infty) > 0$ or $\mathbb{P}(S < \infty) = 0$) is Feller's test; see Theorem 5.5.29 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991).

This criterion relies on the *Feller test function* defined as

$$v(x) := \int_c^x \left(\int_c^y \exp \left(-2 \int_z^y f(u) du \right) \frac{1}{s^2(z)} dz \right) dy, \quad x \in I \quad (2.5)$$

for some fixed constant $c \in I$. Feller's test then states that $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$ holds, if and only if $v(\ell+) = v(r-) = \infty$. Moreover, the finiteness or nonfiniteness of $v(\cdot)$ does not depend on the choice of the constant c . We shall discuss alternative characterizations below, in Subsection 4.4.

We observe next that applying Tonelli's theorem, with $F(\cdot) = \int_c^\cdot f(z) dz$ denoting the anti-derivative of the function $f(\cdot)$, yields

$$v(x) = \int_c^x \left(\frac{\exp(2F(z))}{s^2(z)} \left(\int_z^x \exp(-2F(y)) dy \right) dz \right) dz.$$

Since the function $1/s^2(\cdot)$ is locally square-integrable thanks to the assumption (2.2), and since the anti-derivative $F(\cdot)$ is continuous, we have $v(\ell_n) + v(r_n) < \infty$ and, in particular,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n < \infty) = 1 \quad (2.6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; in fact, we even have $\mathbb{E}[S_n] < \infty$, see Proposition 5.5.32 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991).

There are several situations in which the Feller test function $v(\cdot)$ can be simplified:

- If the function $s(\cdot)$ is differentiable and $b(\cdot) = a s'(\cdot)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$v(x) = \int_c^x \left(s^{2a-2}(z) \int_z^x s^{-2a}(y) dy \right) dz.$$

In particular, we have the following two special cases:

- $a = 1/2$:

$$v(x) = \int_c^x \left(\frac{1}{s(y)} \int_c^y \frac{1}{s(z)} dz \right) dy = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_c^x \frac{1}{s(z)} dz \right)^2; \quad (2.7)$$

- $a = 1$:

$$v(x) = \int_c^x \frac{z - c}{s^2(z)} dz.$$

- If $b(\cdot) = a s(\cdot)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{2a} \int_c^x \frac{1 - \exp(2a(z - x))}{s^2(z)} dz.$$

- If $b(x) = a s(x)/x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1/2\}$, then

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{1 - 2a} \int_c^x \frac{x(z/x)^{2a} - z}{s^2(z)} dz. \quad (2.8)$$

In particular, with $a = 0$, we have

$$v(x) = \int_c^x \frac{x - z}{s^2(z)} dz. \quad (2.9)$$

From these observations we obtain the following corollary that will be of use later on.

Proposition 2.1. Conditions for explosions in special cases. *Feller's test simplifies in the following cases:*

- (i) *Suppose that the function $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ is differentiable (without loss of generality, we then assume that $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot) > 0$ on I), and that $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot) = \mathfrak{s}'(\cdot)/2$. Then $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$ holds, if and only if for some $c \in I$ we have*

$$\int_c^c \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}(z)} dz = \infty = \int_c^r \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}(z)} dz.$$

- (ii) *Suppose that $I = (0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot) = 0$. Then $v(0+) = \infty$ holds, if and only if we have*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{z}{\mathfrak{s}^2(z)} dz = \infty; \quad (2.10)$$

moreover, $v(\infty) = \infty$. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$ holds in this case, if and only if (2.10) does.

- (iii) *Suppose that $I = (0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \mathfrak{s}(x)/x$ for all $x \in I$. Then $v(\infty) = \infty$ holds, if and only if we have*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{z}{\mathfrak{s}^2(z)} dz = \infty; \quad (2.11)$$

moreover, $v(0+) = \infty$. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$ holds in this case, if and only if (2.11) does.

Proof. Part (i) is an application of the identity in (2.7) and Feller's test. For part (ii), we first observe that the condition $v(0+) = \infty$, along with the representation in (2.9) with $c = 1$, imply that (2.10) holds. For the reverse direction, we assume that (2.10) holds and define $m(y) := \int_y^1 \mathfrak{s}^{-2}(z) dz$ for all $y \in [0, 1]$. If $\limsup_{y \downarrow 0} (ym(y)) < \infty$ holds, then $v(0+) = \infty$ by (2.9) with $c = 1$. If $\limsup_{y \downarrow 0} (ym(y)) = \infty$ holds, we observe that we may rewrite (2.9) as

$$v(0+) = \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \int_x^1 \frac{z-x}{\mathfrak{s}^2(z)} dz = \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \left(\int_x^1 \int_x^z \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}^2(z)} dy \right) dz = \int_0^1 m(y) dy \geq \int_0^\eta m(y) dy \geq \eta m(\eta)$$

for all $\eta \in [0, 1]$, which yields $v(0+) = \infty$. Moreover, we have $v(x) \geq (x-2) \int_1^2 \mathfrak{s}^{-2}(z) dz$ for all $x \geq 2$, which yields $v(\infty) = \infty$.

For part (iii), we use (2.8) with $a = 1$ and $c = 1$ to obtain the representation

$$v(x) = \int_1^x \frac{z - z^2/x}{\mathfrak{s}^2(z)} dz = \int_1^x \left(\frac{1}{y^2} \int_1^y \frac{z^2}{\mathfrak{s}^2(z)} dz \right) dy. \quad (2.12)$$

In the same manner as above we have $v(0+) = \infty$ under (2.11), and need only show that (2.11) implies $v(\infty) = \infty$. We may assume again $\limsup_{y \uparrow \infty} (k(y)/y) = \infty$, where $k(y) = \int_1^y z^2 \mathfrak{s}^{-2}(z) dz$ for all $y \in [1, \infty)$, as otherwise the statement is clear. Under this assumption, we obtain from (2.12) that

$$v(\infty) \geq \int_y^\infty \frac{1}{z^2} k(z) dz \geq k(y) \int_y^\infty \frac{1}{z^2} dz = \frac{k(y)}{y}$$

holds for all $y \geq 1$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Of course, additional statements in the form of the last proposition can be proved; we focused here on those we shall use later on. An alternative proof of the condition in (2.10), under slightly stronger assumptions and using the Ray-Knight theorem, appears in Theorem 1.4 of Delbaen and Shirakawa (2002). In Example 5.3, we discuss the setup of part (iii) in Proposition 2.1, and its connection to part (ii) will then become clearer; see also Corollary 5.4.

2.2 A diffusion in natural scale

Let $X^o(\cdot)$ denote the state space in a solution of the equation (2.1) without drift, that is,

$$X^o(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^\cdot \mathfrak{s}(X^o(t)) \, dW^o(t), \quad (2.13)$$

where $W^o(\cdot)$ denotes a Brownian motion. Thanks to our assumptions on the function $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$, this equation admits a weak solution on some filtered probability space $(\Omega^o, \mathfrak{F}^o, \mathbb{P}^o)$, $\mathbb{F}^o = \{\mathfrak{F}^o(t)\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$. This solution is unique in the sense of the probability distribution (see Theorem 5.5.7 in Karatzas and Shreve, 1991) up until an explosion time, and without any absorbing points in the interval I (see Corollary 4.20 in Engelbert and Schmidt, 1991). Of course, the endpoints of the interval *are* absorbing: once the process reaches one of them, it stays there.

Let S^o and S_n^o denote the stopping times that are defined as in (2.4) with $X(\cdot)$ replaced by $X^o(\cdot)$. We recall from Theorem 5.5.4 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991) that $\mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$ holds if $\ell = -\infty$ and $r = \infty$.

In order to see the claimed uniqueness in the sense of the probability distribution from first principles, and also draw some conclusions that will be valuable later on, we shall also consider a “stopped” version of the stochastic integral equation in (2.13), namely

$$\widehat{X}(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^{\cdot \wedge \vartheta(\widehat{X})} \mathfrak{s}(\widehat{X}(t)) \, d\widehat{W}(t). \quad (2.14)$$

Here $\vartheta : C([0, \infty)) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a given *stop-rule* defined on the space $C([0, \infty))$ of continuous functions $\mathfrak{w} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with values in the extended real half-line and with the property

$$\{\mathfrak{w} \in C([0, \infty)) : \vartheta(\mathfrak{w}) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{B}_t := \varphi_t^{-1}(\mathcal{B}), \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t < \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

We have denoted by $\mathcal{B}$ the Borel σ -algebra generated by the open sets in $C([0, \infty))$, and by φ_t the mapping $(\varphi_t \mathfrak{w})(s) := \mathfrak{w}(s \wedge t)$, $0 \leq s < \infty$; see Problem 2.4.2 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991). We shall assume that $\mathfrak{w}(t) \in I$ holds for all $\mathfrak{w} \in C([0, \infty))$ and $0 \leq t < \vartheta(\mathfrak{w})$. A weak solution of the stochastic equation (2.14) consists of a filtered probability space $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}})$, $\widehat{\mathbb{F}} = \{\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}(t)\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$ and of a pair of continuous, adapted processes $\widehat{X}(\cdot), \widehat{W}(\cdot)$ on it, such that $\widehat{W}(\cdot)$ is standard Brownian motion and (2.14) holds. We note that $\varrho = \vartheta(\widehat{X})$ is then a stopping time of the filtration $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$; conversely, we obtain a solution of (2.14) by stopping the state process $X^o(\cdot)$ of any weak solution of the stochastic integral equation (2.13). Thus, uniqueness in distribution for (2.13) will follow once this property has been established for the equation (2.14).

Towards this end, let us consider *any* weak solution of the equation (2.13) and denote $\varrho = \vartheta(\widehat{X})$. The solvability of (2.14) implies that the time change $A(\cdot) := \int_0^\cdot \mathfrak{s}^2(\widehat{X}(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\varrho > t\}} dt$ is well-defined, and we note that this process is the quadratic variation of the continuous local martingale $M(\cdot) = \int_0^\cdot \mathfrak{s}(\widehat{X}(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\varrho > t\}} d\widehat{W}(t)$. According to the Dambis-Dubins-Schwarz theory (see Theorem 3.4.6 and Problem 3.4.7 in Karatzas and Shreve, 1991) there exists a standard Brownian motion $B(\cdot)$ on (an extension of) the underlying probability space, such that

$$\widehat{X}(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^\cdot \mathfrak{s}(\widehat{X}(t)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\varrho > t\}} d\widehat{W}(t) = \xi + B(A(\cdot)).$$

We consider now the inverse time change $\Gamma(\theta) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : A(t) > \theta\}$ for $0 \leq \theta < A(\varrho)$ and $\Gamma(\theta) := \infty$ for $\theta \geq A(\varrho)$, and note that

$$\Gamma'(\theta) = \frac{1}{A'(\Gamma(\theta))} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}^2(\widehat{X}(\Gamma(\theta)))}, \quad \text{thus} \quad \Gamma(\theta) = \int_0^\theta \frac{dr}{\mathfrak{s}^2(\xi + B(r))}$$

for $0 \leq \theta < A(\varrho)$. Next, we define the function $\mathfrak{s}_*(x) := \mathfrak{s}(x)\mathbf{1}_{(\ell,r)}(x) + \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (\ell,r)}(x)$ and the corresponding time change

$$\Gamma_*(\theta) = \int_0^\theta \frac{dr}{\mathfrak{s}_*^2(\xi + B(r))} \leq \Gamma(\theta), \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \theta < \infty,$$

along with its inverse $A_*(t) := \inf\{\theta \geq 0 : \Gamma_*(\theta) > t\} \geq A(t)$ for all $0 \leq t < \infty$. We have $A(\cdot \wedge \varrho) = A_*(\cdot \wedge \varrho)$, therefore the process $X_*(\cdot) := \xi + B(A_*(\cdot))$ satisfies $\widehat{X}(\cdot \wedge \varrho) = X_*(\cdot \wedge \varrho)$ and

$$\varrho = \vartheta(\widehat{X}(\cdot)) = \vartheta(\widehat{X}(\cdot \wedge \varrho)) = \vartheta(X_*(\cdot \wedge \varrho)) = \vartheta(X_*(\cdot)).$$

The continuous, strictly increasing process $\Gamma_*(\cdot)$ is adapted to the filtration $\mathbb{F}^B = \{\mathfrak{F}^B(\theta)\}_{\theta \geq 0}$ generated by the Brownian motion $B(\cdot)$, so $(A_*(t), 0 \leq t < \infty)$ is a collection of stopping times of $\mathbb{F}^B$. This implies that the process $X_*(\cdot) = \xi + B(A_*(\cdot))$ and the stopping time $\varrho = \vartheta(X_*(\cdot))$ are also $\mathfrak{F}^B(\infty)$ -measurable. However, we notice that $A(\cdot) = A_*(\cdot \wedge \varrho)$, so the processes $A(\cdot)$ and $\widehat{X}(\cdot) = \xi + B(A(\cdot))$ are also $\mathfrak{F}^B(\infty)$ -measurable. In particular, the distribution of $\widehat{X}(\cdot)$ is determined uniquely.

Remark 2.1. Construction of a weak solution for (2.13). It is clear how to reverse the steps of this analysis, and construct a weak solution of (2.13): we start with $\xi \in I$ and a standard Brownian motion $B(\cdot)$, and define the first exit time

$$\tau := \inf\{\theta \geq 0 : \xi + B(\theta) \notin (\ell, r)\},$$

as well as the time change

$$\Gamma(\theta) := \int_0^\theta \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}^2(\xi + B(r))} dr, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \tau$$

with $\Gamma(\theta) := \infty$ for all $\theta \in [\tau, \infty)$, just as above. Next, we construct the inverse $A(\cdot)$ of $\Gamma(\cdot)$, and from it the state process $X^o(\cdot) \equiv X^o(\cdot, \xi) = \xi + B(A(\cdot))$. Finally, we construct the Brownian motion

$$W^o(t) = \int_0^{A_o(t)} \frac{dB(r)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(\xi + B(r))}, \quad 0 \leq t < S^o = \Gamma(\tau)$$

and check that the pair $(W^o(\cdot), X^o(\cdot))$ satisfies (2.13) up until the explosion time

$$S^o = \Gamma(\tau-) = \int_{[0, \tau)} \frac{d\theta}{\mathfrak{s}^2(\xi + B(\theta))} = \int_I \frac{2L(\tau, y)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy; \quad (2.16)$$

here $L(\tau, y)$ is the local time accumulated up to τ by the Brownian motion $\xi + B(\cdot)$ at the site $y \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

2.2.1 A new proof of the Feller test for diffusions in natural scale

The representation (2.16) for the explosion time S^o and an application of the Ray-Knight theory, as for example suggested in Assing and Senf (1991), now yield a new proof of Feller's condition in (2.9) for the diffusion in natural scale $X^o(\cdot)$; in this connection, see also Delbaen and Shirakawa (2002), Mijatović and Urusov (2012), and the related work in Khoshnevisan et al. (2006). More precisely, we shall see that $\mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$ holds as follows:

- (i) with $\ell = -\infty$ and $r = \infty$;

(ii) with $\ell = -\infty$ and $r < \infty$, if and only if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\int_{r_n}^r \frac{r-y}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy = \infty; \quad (2.17)$$

(iii) with $\ell > -\infty$ and $r = \infty$, if and only if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\int_{\ell}^{\ell_n} \frac{y-\ell}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy = \infty; \quad (2.18)$$

(iv) with $\ell > -\infty$ and $r < \infty$ if and only if both (2.17) and (2.18) hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The situation of (i) is clear. For the other cases, we recall the local time $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ and stopping time τ of Remark 2.1. Then the function $y \mapsto L(\tau, y)$ is continuous and with compact support, so the local square integrability of $\mathfrak{s}^{-2}(\cdot)$ gives $\int_{\ell_n}^{\tau_n} 2L(\tau, y)\mathfrak{s}^{-2}(y)dy < \infty$, a.e. Thus, by (2.16), we shall have $S^o = \infty$ a.e., if and only if

$$\int_{\ell}^{\ell_n} \frac{2L(\tau, y)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy = \infty \quad \text{holds on the event } \{\xi + B(\tau) = \ell\} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\int_{r_n}^r \frac{2L(\tau, y)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy = \infty \quad \text{holds on the event } \{\xi + B(\tau) = r\}. \quad (2.20)$$

In the following, we shall show the equivalence of (2.17) and (2.20) (provided that $r < \infty$) in the spirit of Mijatović and Urusov (2012); an analogous treatment then covers the equivalence of (2.18) and (2.19) (provided that $\ell > -\infty$). Thus, we now assume, without loss of generality, that $r < \infty$, $\ell = -\infty$, and $\xi = r_n$. Under this assumptions, we know from the Ray-Knight theory (see Theorem 6.4.7 in Karatzas and Shreve, 1991) that the process $2L(\tau, r-t), 0 \leq t \leq r-r_n$ has the same distribution as the two-dimensional squared-Bessel process $B_1^2(t) + B_2^2(t), 0 \leq t \leq r-r_n$, where $B_1(\cdot)$ and $B_2(\cdot)$ are independent standard Brownian motions. Therefore, with $\tilde{B}(\cdot)$ a standard Brownian motion, (2.20) is equivalent to the validity of

$$\int_0^{r-r_n} \frac{\tilde{B}^2(t)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(r-t)} dt = \infty, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We note that an application of Tonelli's theorem yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{r-r_n} \frac{\tilde{B}^2(t)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(r-t)} dt \right] = \int_0^{r-r_n} \frac{t}{\mathfrak{s}^2(r-t)} dt = \int_{r_n}^r \frac{r-y}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy.$$

Therefore, (2.20) implies (2.17). For the reverse implication, assume that (2.20) fails; then the event

$$\Lambda := \left\{ \int_0^{r-r_n} \frac{\tilde{B}^2(t)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(r-t)} dt < M \right\} \quad \text{has positive probability } \gamma := \mathbb{P}(\Lambda) > 0,$$

for some sufficiently large $M > 0$. Since

$$\mathbb{E} [\tilde{B}^2(t) \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda}] \geq \delta^2 t \cdot \mathbb{P} \left(\Lambda \cap \left\{ \tilde{B}^2(t) \geq \delta^2 t \right\} \right) = \delta^2 t \cdot \left(\mathbb{P}(\Lambda) - \mathbb{P} \left(\Lambda \cap \left\{ \tilde{B}^2(1) < \delta^2 \right\} \right) \right) \geq \delta^2 t \frac{\gamma}{2}$$

holds for sufficiently large $\delta > 0$, Tonelli yields again that

$$M \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\Lambda} \int_0^{r-r_n} \frac{\tilde{B}^2(t)}{\mathfrak{s}^2(r-t)} dt \right] \geq \frac{\delta^2 \gamma}{2} \cdot \int_0^{r-r_n} \frac{t}{\mathfrak{s}^2(r-t)} dt = \frac{\delta^2 \gamma}{2} \cdot \int_{r_n}^r \frac{r-y}{\mathfrak{s}^2(y)} dy$$

holds, namely, that (2.17) must then fail as well. This shows that (2.17) implies (2.20), and concludes the argument.

3 Transformations of probability measure and space

To compute probabilistic quantities, for instance distribution functions, one relies on mathematical tools such as transformations (distortions) of probability measure, space, or time. As we are interested in the distribution of an explosion time, distortions of time do not seem helpful for its computation. In this section, we discuss possibly helpful ways to change the probability measure based on the Girsanov-Van Schuppen-Wong theorem, and to distort the space based on methodologies developed by Lamperti, Doss and Sussmann.

3.1 A generalized Girsanov theorem

We discuss here a generalized version of Girsanov's theorem, which appeared in Section 3.7 of McKean (1969) under conditions considerably stronger than those imposed here; see also Exercise 5.5.38 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991) for the special case $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot) \equiv 1$ and $\ell = -\infty, r = \infty$. McKean's version can be considered a “weak” result, as it provides a distributional identity. Ruf (2013b) uses a related “strong” version to provide a proof of the sufficiency of the Novikov and Kazamaki criteria for the martingale property of stochastic exponentials.

First, we recall the finiteness of integral functionals under additional square-integrability assumptions on certain related functions.

Remark 3.1. Finiteness of integral functionals. Let us assume that the mean/variance ratio function $\mathfrak{f}(\cdot)$ is locally square-integrable on I . Furthermore, let us denote by $\Lambda^X(T, y)$ the local time accumulated during the time interval $[0, T]$ by the semimartingale $X(\cdot)$ in (2.1) at the site $y \in I$. We may assume that the random field $\Lambda^X(\cdot, \cdot)$ is jointly continuous in both its arguments; see for example the statement and proof of Theorem 3.7.1 as well as Exercise 3.7.10 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991). From the occupation time density formula (cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (iii) in Karatzas and Shreve, 1991) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \mathfrak{b}^2(X(t)) dt &= \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mathfrak{b}}{\mathfrak{s}} \right)^2 (X(t)) d\langle X \rangle(t) = \int_0^T (\mathfrak{f} \mathbf{1}_{(\ell_n, r_n)})^2 (X(t)) d\langle X \rangle(t) \\ &= 2 \int_{\ell_n}^{r_n} \mathfrak{f}^2(y) \Lambda^X(T, y) dy \leq 2 \max_{\ell_n \leq y \leq r_n} (\Lambda^X(T, y)) \cdot \int_{\ell_n}^{r_n} \mathfrak{f}^2(y) dy < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. on the event $\{S_n > T\}$. □

We are now ready to state and prove a first result.

Theorem 3.1. Generalized Girsanov theorem. *Suppose that the mean/variance ratio function $\mathfrak{f}(\cdot)$ is locally square-integrable on I . For any given $T \in (0, \infty)$ and any Borel set $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_T([0, \infty))$, we have then*

$$\mathbb{P}(X(\cdot) \in \Delta, S > T) = \mathbb{E}^o \left[\exp \left(\int_0^T \mathfrak{b}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathfrak{b}^2(X^o(t)) dt \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{X^o(\cdot) \in \Delta, S^o > T\}} \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, if both diffusions $X(\cdot)$, $X^o(\cdot)$ are non-explosive, i.e., if $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = \mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$, then the exponential $\mathbb{P}^o$ -local martingale

$$\exp \left(\int_0^T \mathfrak{b}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathfrak{b}^2(X^o(t)) dt \right), \quad 0 \leq T < \infty$$

is a true $\mathbb{P}^o$ -martingale.

Proof. We fix $T \in (0, \infty)$ and a Borel set $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_T(C([0, \infty)))$ in the notation of (2.15). In addition to the stopping times of (2.4), we consider the stopping times

$$T_n := S_n \wedge \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \int_0^t \mathfrak{b}^2(X(s)) ds \geq n \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.3)$$

as well as stopping times S_n^o and T_n^o , defined in the same manner as in (2.4) and (3.3), but with $X(\cdot)$ replaced by $X^o(\cdot)$.

We note that (3.1) implies $\{S > T\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{T_n > T\}$, modulo $\mathbb{P}$; similarly, we have $\{S^o > T\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{T_n^o > T\}$, modulo $\mathbb{P}^o$. In conjunction with the monotone convergence theorem, these observations imply that, in order to prove (3.2), it is sufficient to show

$$\mathbb{P}(X(\cdot) \in \Delta, T_n > T) = \mathbb{E}^o \left[Z^o(T) \mathbf{1}_{\{X^o(\cdot) \in \Delta, T_n^o > T\}} \right] \quad (3.4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where we have set

$$Z^o(\cdot) := \exp \left(\int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n^o} \mathfrak{b}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n^o} \mathfrak{b}^2(X^o(t)) dt \right).$$

In the following we shall prove (3.4) for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Towards this end, we define the processes

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}(\cdot) &:= \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{b}(X(t)) dt + W(\cdot), \\ Z(\cdot) &:= \exp \left(\int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{b}(X(t)) d\widehat{W}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{b}^2(X(t)) dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the $\mathbb{P}$ -local martingale

$$L(\cdot) := \exp \left(- \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{b}(X(t)) dW(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{b}^2(X(t)) dt \right) = \frac{1}{Z(\cdot)}.$$

We note that $L(\cdot)$ is a strictly positive martingale; cf. Corollary 3.5.13 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991). Therefore, $d\mathbb{Q} = L(T)d\mathbb{P}$ defines a new probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$ on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}(T))$. Girsanov's theorem (see Theorem 3.5.1 in Karatzas and Shreve, 1991) yields that $\widehat{W}(\cdot)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion. Moreover, $\widehat{X}(\cdot) := X(\cdot \wedge T_n)$ is a solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$\widehat{X}(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{s}(\widehat{X}(t)) d\widehat{W}(t).$$

This observation and the discussion in Subsection 2.2 imply that the $\mathbb{Q}$ -distribution of $X(\cdot \wedge T) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_n > T\}}$ is the same as the $\mathbb{P}^o$ -distribution of $X^o(\cdot \wedge T) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_n^o > T\}}$.

Finally, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\cdot) &= \exp \left(\int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{f}(X(s)) dX(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n} \mathfrak{b}^2(X(s)) ds \right), \\ Z^o(\cdot) &= \exp \left(\int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n^o} \mathfrak{f}(X^o(s)) dX^o(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\cdot \wedge T_n^o} \mathfrak{b}^2(X^o(s)) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

are also nonanticipative functionals of $X(\cdot \wedge T_n)$ and $X^o(\cdot \wedge T_n^o)$, respectively, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X(\cdot) \in \Delta, T_n > T) &= \mathbb{E} \left[L(T) \cdot Z(T) \mathbf{1}_{\{X(\cdot) \in \Delta, T_n > T\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[Z(T) \mathbf{1}_{\{X(\cdot) \in \Delta, T_n > T\}} \right] = \mathbb{E}^o \left[Z^o(T) \mathbf{1}_{\{X^o(\cdot) \in \Delta, T_n^o > T\}} \right]; \end{aligned}$$

this yields (3.4) and concludes the proof. $\square$

3.1.1 Feynman-Kac representation

With $\Delta = C([0, T])$ in (3.2), the distribution of the explosion time S in (2.4) is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \mathbb{E}^o \left[\exp \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{b}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathbf{b}^2(X^o(t)) dt \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{S^o > T\}} \right] \quad (3.5)$$

if the function $\mathbf{f}(\cdot)$ in (2.3) is locally square-integrable on the interval I . Let us assume in this subsection that the function $\mathbf{f}(\cdot)$ is actually continuous and continuously differentiable on I , and denote by $F(\cdot) = \int_c \mathbf{f}(x) dx$ its antiderivative.

On the event $\{S^o > T\}$ we have then

$$\begin{aligned} F(X^o(T)) - F(\xi) &= \int_0^T \mathbf{f}(X^o(t)) dX^o(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathbf{f}'(X^o(t)) d\langle X^o \rangle(t) \\ &= \int_0^T \mathbf{b}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}' \mathbf{s}^2)(X^o(t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

so (3.5) gives

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \exp(-F(\xi)) \cdot \mathbb{E}^o \left[\exp \left(F(X^o(T)) - \int_0^T V(X^o(t)) dt \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{S^o > T\}} \right] \quad (3.6)$$

with the notation

$$V(x) := \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{b}^2(x) + \mathbf{f}'(x) \mathbf{s}^2(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}^2(x) (\mathbf{f}^2(x) + \mathbf{f}'(x)) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{b}^2(x) + \mathbf{b}'(x) \mathbf{s}(x) - \mathbf{b}(x) \mathbf{s}'(x))$$

for all $x \in I$. In other words, the distribution of the explosion time is determined completely by the joint distribution of $X^o(T)$ and $\int_0^T V(X^o(t)) dt$ on the event $\{S^o > T\}$, for all $T \in (0, \infty)$.

Remark 3.2. *Non-explosive $X^o(\cdot)$.* When $\mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$, the expression of (3.6) takes the simpler form

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \exp(-F(\xi)) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(F(X^o(T)) - \int_0^T V(X^o(t)) dt \right) \right].$$

In the special case $\mathbf{s}(\cdot) \equiv 1$ we have $X^o(\cdot) = \xi + W^o(\cdot)$, so finding the distribution of the explosion time S as in (3.6) amounts then to computing the joint distributions of certain Brownian functionals. $\square$

3.2 Explosions as Brownian exits via Lamperti-Doss-Sussman-type transformations

In the previous subsection we saw how to remove drifts by changing the underlying probability measure. We discuss now ways to transform the dispersion term into a constant, by distorting the space as $Y(\cdot) = h(X(\cdot))$, for some strictly increasing and continuous function $h : (\ell, r) \rightarrow (\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{r})$ and suitable $-\infty \leq \tilde{\ell} < \tilde{r} \leq \infty$.

We shall assume that the function $\mathbf{s}(\cdot)$ is continuous and continuously differentiable on the interval $I = (\ell, r)$; without loss of generality, we shall also assume that $\mathbf{s}(\cdot)$ is strictly positive. We shall consider the function

$$h_c(x) = \int_c^x \frac{1}{\mathbf{s}(z)} dz, \quad x \in (\ell, r) \quad (3.7)$$

for some $c \in (\ell, r)$. We observe that $h_c(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing and twice differentiable. We set $\tilde{\ell} = h_c(\ell) := \lim_{x \downarrow \ell} h_c(x) \in [-\infty, \infty)$ and $\tilde{r} = h_c(r) := \lim_{x \uparrow r} h_c(x) \in (-\infty, \infty]$ and define the process $Y(\cdot)$ via

$$Y(t) := h_c(X(t)) \text{ for all } t \in [0, S) \text{ and } Y(t) = \lim_{u \uparrow S} h_c(X(u)) \text{ for all } t \in [S, \infty).$$

It is clear that $\lim_{t \uparrow S} Y(t) \in \{\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{r}\}$ holds on $\{S < \infty\}$, and that the new process $Y(\cdot)$ leaves its state space $\tilde{I} := (\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{r})$ at exactly the time S . In particular, the (distribution of the) explosion time S of $X(\cdot)$ is exactly the (distribution of the) explosion time $\tilde{S}$ of $Y(\cdot)$. We recall from part (i) of Proposition 2.1 that $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$ if and only if $\ell = h_c(\ell) = -\infty$ and $h_c(r) = \tilde{r} = \infty$.

With $\vartheta_c : \tilde{I} \rightarrow I$ denoting the inverse function of h_c , simple stochastic calculus yields that

$$dY(t) = \left(\mathfrak{b}(\vartheta_c(Y(t))) - \frac{s'(\vartheta_c(Y(t)))}{2} \right) dt + dW(t), \quad Y(0) = h_c(\xi) =: \tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{I}$$

hold for all $t \in [0, S)$. In particular, with the function $\nu : \tilde{I} \rightarrow I$ defined by

$$\nu(y) := \mathfrak{b}(\vartheta_c(y)) - \frac{1}{2} s'(\vartheta_c(y)), \quad y \in \tilde{I}$$

we have the simple dynamics

$$dY(t) = \nu(Y(t))dt + dW(t), \quad Y(0) = h_c(\xi) = \tilde{\xi}$$

for all $t \in [0, S)$. As Lamperti (1964) stresses (see also Section 3.4 in McKean, 1969), this equation can be solved pathwise by simple Picard iterations, without any need for stochastic integration or other probabilistic tools, as long as the function $\nu(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous.

Applying first this transformation of space, and then the change of measure of the previous subsection, reduces the problem of computing the distribution of first exit times to a purely Brownian computation – although often a hard one, due to the presence of path integrals. Alternatively, a weak additional condition on the functions $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)$ or $\nu(\cdot)$, respectively, allows the characterization of the explosion times of $X(\cdot)$ as first exit times of a Brownian motion from an open (in general, time-dependent) domain via the approach pioneered by Lamperti (1964), Doss (1977), and Sussmann (1978) and developed, for instance, in Proposition 5.2.21 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991). Lamperti, Doss, and Sussmann introduced this method to study the pathwise solvability of stochastic differential equations.

• For completeness, let us sketch the broad outline of this approach. It is checked easily that the map $(w, c) \mapsto \vartheta_c(w)$ is differentiable on the domain $D := \{(w, c) : w \in \text{Range}(h_c), c \in I\}$, in fact with

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_c(0) &= c, & \frac{\partial}{\partial c} \vartheta_c(0) &= 1 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \vartheta_c(w) &= \mathfrak{s}(\vartheta_c(w)), & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2} \vartheta_c(w) &= (\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}')(\vartheta_c(w)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c} \vartheta_c(w) = \exp \left(\int_0^w \mathfrak{s}'(\vartheta_c(y)) dy \right) = \exp \left(\int_0^w \frac{\mathfrak{s}'(\vartheta_c(y)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \vartheta_c(y)}{\mathfrak{s}(\vartheta_c(y))} dy \right) = \frac{\mathfrak{s}(\vartheta_c(w))}{\mathfrak{s}(\vartheta_c(0))} = \frac{\mathfrak{s}(\vartheta_c(w))}{\mathfrak{s}(c)}. \tag{3.9}$$

We assume that for a given continuous function $\mu : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists almost surely a solution $C(\cdot)$ of the integral equation

$$C(t) = \xi + \int_0^t \mu(\vartheta_{C(s)}(W(s))) \mathfrak{s}(C(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < S, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$S := \inf \{t \geq 0 : (W(t), C(t)) \notin D\}.$$

For example, such a solution exists if the function $\mu(\cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on I . If we define now

$$X(t) := \vartheta_{C(t)}(W(t)) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t < S,$$

it is checked easily that $X(\cdot)$ solves (2.1) with $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot) = \mathfrak{s}'(\cdot)/2 + \mu(\cdot)$; to wit,

$$dX(t) = \mathfrak{s}(X(t)) \left(dW(t) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{s}' + \mu \right)(X(t)) dt \right), \quad X(0) = \xi \in I. \quad (3.11)$$

This follows from elementary stochastic calculus, using (3.8) - (3.10).

The approach just outlined resembles mostly that of Doss (1977); however, our representation of the drift lets the ordinary differential equation in (3.10) look slightly easier than in that paper. We also observe that $X(\cdot)$ does not depend on the path $W(\cdot)$ through the subindex $C(\cdot)$, if and only if $\mu(\cdot)$ is a real constant.

4 Analytic properties of the explosion time distribution

In this section, we shall discuss analytic properties of the function $U : (0, \infty) \times I \rightarrow [0, 1]$, defined via

$$U(T, \xi) := \mathbb{P}_\xi(S > T) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $(T, \xi) \in (0, \infty) \times I$. Here and in what follows, we index the probability measure by the common starting position $\xi \in I$ of the diffusions $X(\cdot)$ and $X^o(\cdot)$.

4.1 Continuity

The question of continuity of the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is of interest in itself; it also will be important for our arguments later on. Since $1 - U(\cdot, \xi)$ is a distribution function, it is right-continuous for all $\xi \in I$. In this subsection we shall see, without any further assumptions on the coefficients $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)$ beyond those imposed in Section 1, that $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is actually jointly continuous in its two arguments.

We start with a technical result in Lemma 4.1. In particular, the property in (4.4) is well known for regular, one-dimensional diffusions; it is discussed, for instance, in the “matching numbers” Section 3.3 of Itô and McKean (1965). It is not hard to prove from first principles, so we present here a simple argument. We then prove in Lemma 4.2 the continuity of the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the first component, as a function of time only. Finally, in Proposition 4.3 we establish the joint continuity of $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ based on a simple “coupling” argument.

Lemma 4.1. Diffusions hit nearby points fast. *With the stopping times*

$$\begin{aligned} H_x &:= \inf \{t \in [0, \infty) : X(t) = x\}, & x \in I, \\ \widehat{H}_{x,y} &:= \inf \{t \in [H_x, \infty) : X(t) = y\}, & (x, y) \in I^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $x_1 \equiv x_1(\varepsilon) \in (-\infty, \xi)$ and $x_2 \equiv x_2(\varepsilon) \in (\xi, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi \left(\widehat{H}_{x_i, \xi} < \varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, for all $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \xi} \mathbb{P}_y (H_\xi < \delta) = 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. We first show that we have $\mathbb{P}_\xi(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for the event

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \exists R(\omega) > 0 \text{ such that } \min_{t \in [0, R(\omega)]} X(t, \omega) \geq \xi \right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 < \min_{t \in [0, R(\omega)]} Z(t, \omega) \leq \max_{t \in [0, R(\omega)]} Z(t, \omega) \leq 2$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, where $Z(\cdot)$ is the stochastic exponential of $\int_0^\cdot \mathbf{b}(X(t)) dW(t)$. Thus, by an equivalent change of measure argument, we also may assume that $\mathbf{b}(\cdot) \equiv 0$. The path properties of standard Brownian motion, in conjunction with the observations of Remark 2.1 and the fact that $A(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, as defined there, then let us conclude; see also Lemma 2.9.4(ii) in Karatzas and Shreve (1991).

The continuity (from below) of the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ then yields the existence of $y_1 \in (-\infty, \xi)$ such that $\mathbb{P}_\xi(H_{y_1} < \varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2$. Replacing the minimum by a maximum in (4.5) and repeating the argument, we obtain the existence of $x_2 \in (\xi, \infty)$ such that $\mathbb{P}_\xi(H_{x_2} < H_{y_1} < \varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ holds; this then implies (4.3) for $i = 2$. The existence of the claimed $x_1 \in (-\infty, \xi)$ is argued in the same manner. Finally, the strong Markov property of the diffusion $X(\cdot)$ implies

$$\mathbb{P}_y (H_\xi < \varepsilon) \geq \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(\widehat{H}_{y, \xi} < \varepsilon \right);$$

that is, the probability of the event that the diffusion $X(\cdot)$ started at y hits ξ before time ε dominates the probability of the event that $X(\cdot)$ completes a round-trip from ξ to y and then back to ξ , before time ε . We now fix $\delta > 0, \varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$ and the corresponding $x_1 \in (-\infty, \xi)$ and $x_2 \in (\xi, \infty)$. Then for all $y \in (x_1, x_2)$, applying (4.3), we have

$$\mathbb{P}_y (H_\xi < \delta) \geq \mathbb{P}_y (H_\xi < \varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

which proves (4.4). $\square$

Lemma 4.2. Continuity of $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ as a function of time. *The function $T \mapsto U(T, \xi)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, for any given $\xi \in I$.*

Proof. We fix $(T, \xi) \in (0, \infty) \times I$ and observe that it is sufficient to show $p := \mathbb{P}_\xi(S = T) = 0$, due to the right-continuity of the function $U(\cdot, \xi)$. Let us consider any strictly increasing sequence of stopping times $0 = H^{(0)} < H^{(1)} < H^{(2)} < \dots$. We then have, again by the strong Markov property of the diffusion $X(\cdot)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(S \in \left\{ T + H^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(S - H^{(i)} = T \right) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\mathbb{P}_{X(H^{(i)})}(S = T) \right] \\ &\geq p \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(X(H^{(i)}) = \xi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to show the statement, it is sufficient to construct a strictly increasing sequence of stopping times $\{H^{(i)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathbb{P}_\xi(X(H^{(i)}) = \xi)$ does not converge to zero as i increases. We shall construct

such a sequence inductively, by “stitching together” the round trips of Lemma 4.1. Towards this end, consider a sequence $\{q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$ such that (4.3) holds with x_1 replaced by q_i and ε replaced by $1/2^i$. Next, we define the stopping times

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}^{(i)} &:= \inf \left\{ t \in [H^{(i-1)}, \infty) : X(t) = q_i \right\}, \\ H^{(i)} &:= \inf \left\{ t \in [\tilde{H}^{(i)}, \infty) : X(t) = \xi \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then using the Markov property of the diffusion $X(\cdot)$, and conditioning on the event $\{X(H^{(i-1)}) = \xi\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_\xi \left(X(H^{(i)}) = \xi \right) &\geq \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(X(H^{(i-1)}) = \xi \right) \cdot \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(\hat{H}_{q_i, \xi} < \frac{1}{2^i} \right) \geq \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(X(H^{(i-1)}) = \xi \right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i} \right) \\ &\geq \dots \geq \prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j} \right) = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j} \right) \right),\end{aligned}$$

which does not converge to zero as i increases. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Alternatively, we could have proved the previous lemma via the methods developed in Section 3 under the additional assumption of continuous differentiability of the function $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$, for instance. We would first simplify the stochastic differential equation to one with $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot) \equiv 1$ via the Lamperti-Doss-Sussman-type transformation. In a second step, we would find a suitable localization and remove the drift by a change of the probability measure. We then could conclude by using properties of Brownian motion. The advantage of the proof we provided, is that it does not require any assumptions on the function $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$.

Proposition 4.3. Joint continuity of $U(\cdot, \cdot)$. *The function $(T, \xi) \mapsto U(T, \xi)$ is jointly continuous on $[0, \infty) \times I$.*

Proof. We fix some $\varepsilon > 0$, a pair $(T, \xi) \in [0, \infty) \times I$, and a sequence $\{(t_n, \xi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, \infty) \times I$ such that $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} (t_n, \xi_n) = (T, \xi)$.

We start with the case $T = 0$. We need to show that $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\xi_n}(S > t_n) = 1$. With $S = S(\ell) \wedge S(r)$, the minimum of the explosion times of $X(\cdot)$ to ℓ and r , respectively, let us choose some $\eta \in (\ell, \xi)$ and observe that, for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the upper bound

$$\mathbb{P}_{\xi_n}(S(\ell) > t_n) \geq \mathbb{P}_\eta(S(\ell) > t_n) = U(t_n, \eta)$$

which converges to $U(0, \eta) = 1$ as $n \uparrow \infty$ due to the right-continuity of the function $U(\cdot, \eta)$. Thus, $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\xi_n}(S(\ell) > t_n) = 1$; similarly $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\xi_n}(S(r) > t_n) = 1$, and this proves the claim for $T = 0$.

We now assume $T > 0$. From Lemma 4.2, there exists $\delta \in (0, T/2)$ so that $|U(t, \xi) - U(T, \xi)| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $t \in (T - 2\delta, T + 2\delta)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $|t_n - T| < \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we observe that the strong Markov property of $X(\cdot)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}|U(t_n, \xi_n) - U(t, \xi)| &= |\mathbb{E}_{\xi_n} [\mathbf{1}_{\{S > t_n\}} - U(t, \xi)]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\xi_n} \left[|U(t_n - H_\xi, \xi) - U(t, \xi)| \mathbf{1}_{\{H_\xi < \delta\}} \right] + \mathbb{P}_{\xi_n}(H_\xi \geq \delta) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \mathbb{P}_{\xi_n}(H_\xi \geq \delta);\end{aligned}$$

here H_ξ , defined as in (4.2), is the first hitting time of ξ by the process $X(\cdot)$. Letting n tend to infinity and applying (4.4) concludes the proof. $\square$

4.2 Connections with parabolic partial differential equations

In this section, we study conditions implying that the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ solves the Cauchy problem for the linear, parabolic partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2(x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + \mathfrak{b}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x) \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x) \quad (4.6)$$

with an appropriate initial condition, namely

$$\mathcal{U}(0, x) = 1, \quad x \in I. \quad (4.7)$$

We start with an existence result.

Lemma 4.4. Existence of a classical solution. *Assume that the functions $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)$ are locally uniformly Hölder-continuous on I . Then for any bounded, continuous function $g : (0, \infty) \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Cauchy problem of (4.6) has a unique classical solution $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}((1/n, \infty) \times (\ell_n, r_n))$, subject to the boundary conditions*

$$\mathcal{U}(1/n, x) = g(1/n, x), \quad \mathcal{U}(\tau, \ell_n) = g(\tau, \ell_n), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(\tau, r_n) = g(\tau, r_n)$$

for all $(\tau, x) \in (1/n, \infty) \times (\ell_n, r_n)$. Moreover, the solution $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded on $[1/n, \infty) \times [\ell_n, r_n]$.

Proof. The continuity of the function $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ yields $\min_{x \in [\ell_n, r_n]} |\mathfrak{s}(x)| > 0$. Moreover, our assumptions imply that the functions $\mathfrak{s}^2(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ are also uniformly Hölder-continuous on $[\ell_n, r_n]$. Thus, the existence and uniqueness result of Theorem 3.9 in Friedman (1964) and the maximum principle of Theorem 2.1 in this same book yield the statement. $\square$

We can now show that the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ of (4.1) solves the Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7).

Proposition 4.5. Stochastic representation of the solution to the Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7). *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.4, the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is of class $\mathcal{C}([0, \infty) \times I) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1,2}((0, \infty) \times I)$ and solves the Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7).*

Proof. We have shown the continuity of the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ in Proposition 4.3. We now fix $(T, \xi) \in (1/n, \infty) \times (\ell_n, r_n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and show that the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the Cauchy problem of (4.6) in $(1/n, \infty) \times (\ell_n, r_n)$, which then yields the statement.

Applying Lemma 4.4 with $g(\cdot, \cdot) = U(\cdot, \cdot)$, we see that this Cauchy problem has a bounded classical solution $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Simple stochastic calculus then implies that $\mathcal{U}(T - (t \wedge \rho), X(t \wedge \rho))$, $0 \leq t \leq T$ is a bounded $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -martingale, where ρ denotes the smaller of $T - 1/n$ and S_n . Optional sampling gives

$$\mathcal{U}(T, \xi) = \mathbb{E}_\xi[\mathcal{U}(T - \rho, X(\rho))] = \mathbb{E}_\xi[U(T - \rho, X(\rho))] = U(T, \xi),$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the strong Markov property of the diffusion $X(\cdot)$. We have shown that $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ coincides with $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot)$, and thus solves the Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7). $\square$

The proof of Proposition 4.5 resembles the arguments in Janson and Tysk (2006). For similar results, see Section 3.5 in McKean (1969), Theorem 5.6.1 in Friedman (1976), Heath and Schweizer (2000) and Ruf (2013a). We emphasize that the Hölder coefficient in Lemma 4.4 need not be $1/2$, as often postulated in related questions.

This Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7) admits the trivial solution $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$; it may have lots of other solutions. The one we are interested in, the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined in (4.1), turns out to be its minimal nonnegative solution. The following characterization of this function is analogous to the results in Problem 3.5.1 of McKean (1969) and in Exercise 4.4.7 of Karatzas and Shreve (1991); see also Fernholz and Karatzas (2010, 2011) and Ruf (2013a).

Proposition 4.6. Upper bounds on $U(\cdot, \cdot)$, and minimality. *The function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$, defined in (4.1) as the tail of the distribution function of the explosion time S , is dominated by every nonnegative classical supersolution $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7).*

Whereas, under the conditions of Lemma 4.4, the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the smallest nonnegative classical (super)solution of (4.6), (4.7).

Proof. Consider any continuous function $\mathcal{U} : [0, \infty) \times I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}((0, \infty) \times I)$ that satisfies the partial differential inequality

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) \geq \frac{\mathfrak{s}^2(x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + \mathfrak{b}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x) \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x); \quad (\tau, x) \in (0, \infty) \times I,$$

as well as the initial condition $\mathcal{U}(0, \cdot) \geq 1$. For any given $T \in (0, \infty)$, it is checked readily on the strength of this inequality that the process $\mathcal{U}(T - (t \wedge S_n), X(t \wedge S_n))$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$ is a local $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -supermartingale; as it is nonnegative, this process is actually a true $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -supermartingale, so

$$\mathcal{U}(T, \xi) \geq \mathbb{E}_\xi [\mathcal{U}(T - (T \wedge S_n), X(T \wedge S_n))] \geq \mathbb{E}_\xi [\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n > T\}} \mathcal{U}(0, X(T))] \geq \mathbb{P}_\xi(S_n > T) \quad (4.8)$$

by optional sampling. Letting $n \uparrow \infty$, we obtain the first claimed result from monotone convergence; the second then follows from Proposition 4.5. $\square$

If there are no explosions, then the Cauchy problem of (4.6), (4.7) actually has a unique bounded classical solution.

Proposition 4.7. Unique bounded solution. *Assume that the function $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$ is the smallest nonnegative classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), (4.7), and let $V(\cdot, \cdot)$ be any bounded classical solution of this Cauchy problem. Then we have $V(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv \mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$.*

Proof. Let $V : [0, \infty) \times I \rightarrow [-K, K]$ be a bounded classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), (4.7), for some $K \in (0, \infty)$. Then the function $\widehat{V}(\cdot, \cdot) = (V(\cdot, \cdot) + K)/(1 + K)$ is a bounded, nonnegative classical solution of the same Cauchy problem, so by assumption we must have $\widehat{V}(\cdot, \cdot) \geq 1$.

Let us assume that $\widehat{V}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is not identically equal to the constant function $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$, so that we have $K_2 := \sup_{(t,x) \in [0, \infty) \times (\ell, r)} \widehat{V}(t, x) \in (1, 2)$. The function $\widetilde{V}(\cdot, \cdot) = (K_2 - \widehat{V}(\cdot, \cdot))/(K_2 - 1)$ is then a classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), (4.7) with values in $[0, 1]$, and not identically equal to the constant function $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$; but this contradicts the assumption that the function $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$ is the smallest nonnegative classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), (4.7). $\square$

We note that it is not possible to remove the boundedness assumption in Proposition 4.7; see for example Rosenbloom (1958).

4.3 Connections with second-order ordinary differential equations

Let us consider now, for any given real number $\lambda > 0$, the Laplace transform or “resolvent” of the function $U(\cdot, \xi)$ in (4.1), namely

$$\widehat{U}_\lambda(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda T) U(T, \xi) dT = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda T) \mathbb{P}_\xi(S > T) dT = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - \mathbb{E}_\xi[\exp(-\lambda S)] \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Proposition 4.8. Stochastic representation of the solution to an ordinary differential equation. *If the functions $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ are continuous on I , then the function $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot)$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^2(I)$ and satisfies the second-order ordinary differential equation*

$$\frac{\mathfrak{s}^2(x)}{2}u''(x) + \mathfrak{b}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x)u'(x) - \lambda u(x) + 1 = 0, \quad x \in I. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. For some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{\mathfrak{s}^2(x)}{2}v''(x) + \mathfrak{b}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x)v'(x) - \lambda v(x) = 0, \quad x \in (\ell_n, r_n) \quad (4.11)$$

with boundary condition $v(\ell_n) = v(r_n) = 0$, and note that it has the unique solution $v(\cdot) \equiv 0$. To see why, let us assume that (4.11) has a non-constant solution $\widehat{v}(\cdot)$, and try to arrive at a contradiction. This solution $\widehat{v}(\cdot)$ must have a local maximum or minimum at some $y \in (\ell_n, r_n)$ with $\widehat{v}'(y) = 0$; assuming that y is the location of a positive local maximum with $\widehat{v}(y) > 0$, we obtain the absurdity $0 > \mathfrak{s}^2(y)\widehat{v}''(y) = 2\lambda\widehat{v}(y)$. This yields the asserted uniqueness.

Theorem 12.3.1 in Hartman (1982) shows now that the differential equation in (4.10) has a unique solution in (ℓ_n, r_n) with boundary conditions $u(\ell_n) = g_1$ and $u(r_n) = g_2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, we fix a $\xi \in I$ and a sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\xi \in (\ell_n, r_n)$ and let $u(\cdot)$ denote the solution of the differential equation in (4.10) with boundary conditions $u(\ell_n) = \widehat{U}_\lambda(\ell_n)$ and $u(r_n) = \widehat{U}_\lambda(r_n)$. Simple stochastic calculus shows that the process

$$M(t) := \exp(-\lambda(t \wedge S_n)) \left(u(X(t \wedge S_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty \quad (4.12)$$

is a $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -local martingale; we conclude that $M(\cdot)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale, as it is bounded. We recall $\mathbb{P}_\xi(S_n < \infty) = 1$ from (2.6), and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi) - \frac{1}{\lambda} &= \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\exp(-\lambda S_n) \left(u(X(S_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\exp(-\lambda S_n) \left(\widehat{U}_\lambda(X(S_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_\xi [\exp(-\lambda S_n) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{X(S_n)} [\exp(-\lambda S)]] = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_\xi [\exp(-\lambda S)] = \widehat{U}_\lambda(\xi) - \frac{1}{\lambda}; \end{aligned}$$

the result now follows. $\square$

For related results, see Theorem 5.9.3 in Itô (2006), and Theorem 13.16 on page 51 in Volume II of Dynkin (1965).

Once again, the ordinary differential equation in (4.10) may have lots of classical solutions, in addition to the obvious $u(\cdot) \equiv 1/\lambda$. The function of (4.9) we are interested in, turns out to be its smallest nonnegative classical supersolution.

Proposition 4.9. Upper bounds on $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot)$, and minimality. *The function $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot)$, defined in (4.9) as the Laplace transform of the tail of the distribution function of the explosion time S , is dominated by every nonnegative classical supersolution of the second-order equation (4.10).*

Whereas, under the conditions of Proposition 4.8, the function $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot)$ is the smallest nonnegative classical (super)solution of (4.10).

Proof. Consider any function $u : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^2(I)$ that satisfies

$$\frac{\mathfrak{s}^2(x)}{2}u''(x) + \mathfrak{b}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x)u'(x) - \lambda u(x) + 1 \leq 0, \quad x \in I.$$

Simple stochastic calculus shows that the process $M(\cdot)$, defined in (4.12), is now a supermartingale, so

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi) &\geq \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\exp(-\lambda S_n) \left(u(X(S_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \geq \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n > t\}} dt \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) \mathbb{P}_\xi(S_n > t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we conclude that $u(\xi) \geq \mathbb{E}_\xi[\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) \mathbb{P}(S > t) dt] = \widehat{U}_\lambda(\xi)$ holds for any given initial position $\xi \in I$; the first claim follows. The second is a consequence of Proposition 4.8. $\square$

By analogy with the situation in Subsection 4.2, in the absence of explosions the second-order equation (4.10) actually has a unique bounded solution.

Proposition 4.10. Unique bounded solution. *Assume that the function $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot) \equiv 1/\lambda$ is the minimal nonnegative classical solution of the second-order equation (4.10), and let $u(\cdot)$ be any bounded classical solution of (4.10). Then we have $u(\cdot) \equiv \widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot)$.*

Proof. This result can be proved in exactly the same manner as Proposition 4.7. $\square$

We note again that it is not possible to remove the boundedness assumption in Proposition 4.10. For example, with $I = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot) \equiv \sqrt{2}$, $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot) \equiv 0$, and $\lambda = 1$ in (4.10), the (smallest nonnegative and) unique bounded solution is of course $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot) \equiv 1$, but there is a host of unbounded solutions $u_\kappa(x) = 1 + \kappa \exp(x)$ for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ (nonnegative, as long as $\kappa \geq 0$).

Finally, we remark that solving the ordinary differential equation in (4.11) has been the standard way to compute Laplace transformations of the distributions of hitting times, a computation that is a special case of the computation of the distributions of explosion times; see for example Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (1978), Kent (1978), or Salminen and Vallois (2009).

4.4 Equivalent formulations for the Feller test

The next theorem summarizes several of our previous observations.

Theorem 4.11. Characterization of explosions. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the diffusion process $X(\cdot)$ of (2.1) has no explosions, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$;*
- (ii) *$v(\ell+) = v(r-) = \infty$ hold for the “Feller test” function defined in (2.5) for some $c \in (\ell, r)$.*

If the function $\mathfrak{f}(\cdot)$ is locally square-integrable on I , then conditions (i)–(ii) are equivalent to:

- (iii) *the truncated exponential $\mathbb{P}^o$ -supermartingale*

$$Z^\flat(T) = \exp \left(\int_0^T \mathfrak{b}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathfrak{b}^2(X^o(t)) dt \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{S^o > T\}}, \quad 0 \leq T < \infty,$$

appearing in (3.5), is a $\mathbb{P}^o$ -martingale.

If the functions $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)$ are continuous on I , conditions (i)–(iii) are equivalent to:

- (iv) *the smallest nonnegative classical solution of the second-order differential equation in (4.10) is $u(\cdot) \equiv 1/\lambda$;*
- (iv)' *the unique bounded classical solution of the equation in (4.10) is $u(\cdot) \equiv 1/\lambda$.*

Whereas if, in addition, the functions $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\cdot)$ are locally uniformly Hölder-continuous on I , conditions (i)–(iv) are equivalent to:

(v) the smallest nonnegative classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), (4.7) is $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$;

(v)' the unique bounded classical solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), (4.7) is $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$.

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is the subject of the Feller test for explosions (see for example Theorem 5.5.29 in Karatzas and Shreve, 1991). The equivalence of (i) and (iii) follows from Theorem 3.1. Under the stated conditions, the equivalence of (i) and (iv) is covered by Propositions 4.8 and 4.9; whereas the equivalence of (i) and (v) is covered by Propositions 4.5 and 4.6. The equivalence of (iv) and (iv)' (resp., (v) and (v)') is the subject of Proposition 4.10 (resp., Proposition 4.7). $\square$

It would be of some interest to have a more “circular” proof of this result, in particular, a derivation of the Feller test (ii) from one of the minimality properties (iv) and (v).

5 Examples

Let us consider some examples.

Example 5.1. Reciprocal of Brownian motion. Let us take $I = (0, \infty)$ and

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = -x^2, \quad \mathfrak{b}(x) = -x, \quad (5.1)$$

implying $\mathfrak{f}(x) = 1/x$. With a given initial condition $\xi \in (0, \infty)$, the driftless equation of (2.13) takes the form

$$X^o(\cdot) = \xi - \int_0^\cdot (X^o(t))^2 dW^o(t),$$

and is easily seen to take values in $I = (0, \infty)$ for all times, as it is identified with the reciprocal $X^o(\cdot) = 1/R(\cdot)$ of the three-dimensional Bessel process

$$dR(t) = \frac{1}{R(t)} dt + dW^o(t), \quad R(0) = \frac{1}{\xi}. \quad (5.2)$$

In particular, the condition in (2.10) is satisfied, and we have $\mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$. It has been known since the work of Johnson and Helms (1963) that $X^o(\cdot)$ is a strict local martingale; and the connection with the Bessel process allows the computation of the distribution of $X^o(T)$ as in Ekström and Tysk (2009), namely

$$\mathbb{P}(X^o(T) \in dy) = \frac{\xi}{y^3 \sqrt{2\pi T}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{((1/y) - (1/\xi))^2}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{((1/y) + (1/\xi))^2}{2T}\right) \right).$$

- On the other hand, with the choices of (5.1), the general equation of (2.1) becomes

$$X(\cdot) = \xi - \int_0^\cdot X^2(t) dW(t) + \int_0^\cdot X^3(t) dt, \quad (5.3)$$

whereas the functions of Subsection 3.1.1 take the form $F(x) = \log(x)$ and $V(\cdot) \equiv 0$. The condition (2.11) clearly fails in this case, so we have $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) < 1$; in fact, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \mathbb{E}^o \left[\frac{1}{R(T)} \right] = 2 \int_0^{1/(\xi\sqrt{T})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2}\right) dr =: U(T, \xi), \quad 0 \leq T < \infty, \quad (5.4)$$

so in fact $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 0$. This is also quite straightforward to check directly, as follows: we observe that (5.3) is of the special form

$$dX(t) = \mathfrak{s}(X(t)) \left(dW(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{s}'(X(t)) dt \right), \quad X(0) = \xi, \quad (5.5)$$

or equivalently (5.17) with $\mu(\cdot) \equiv 0$. Following the procedure of Subsection 3.2, we see that (5.3) can be solved “pathwise” in terms of the function $\vartheta_\xi(w) = (w + (1/\xi))^{-1}$, namely as

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{W(t) + (1/\xi)}, \quad 0 \leq t < S.$$

Thus, the explosion time S is the first hitting time of the level $(-1/\xi)$ by a standard Brownian motion started at the origin, that is, the right-hand side of (5.4). Note that the process explodes at $S = \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} S'_n$ where $S'_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \geq n\}$; the left endpoint $\ell = 0$ of the state space is inaccessible by $X(\cdot)$. This is consistent with the observation made in part (i) of Proposition 2.1 since $\int_0^1 |\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)| dz = \infty$ and $\int_1^\infty |\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)| dz = 1 < \infty$.

Here, it is easy to verify “by hand” that the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$, defined in (5.4), satisfies both the linear parabolic equation of (4.6), now in the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \frac{x^4}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + x^3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x), \quad (\tau, x) \in (0, \infty) \times I,$$

as well as the initial condition $\mathcal{U}(0+, x) \equiv 1$ for all $x \in I$. (It also satisfies the lateral condition $\mathcal{U}(T, 0+) \equiv 1$ for all $T \in (0, \infty)$ but this is immaterial, as the left endpoint $\ell = 0$ of the state space is inaccessible.) The function of (5.4) is not the only classical solution of this Cauchy problem, as $\mathcal{U}(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv 1$ is clearly a solution; from Proposition 4.6, however, $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is its *smallest nonnegative classical solution*.

• Let us consider next the second-order ordinary differential equation in (4.10), written here as

$$\frac{x^4}{2} u''(x) + x^3 u'(x) - \lambda u(x) + 1 = 0, \quad x \in I. \quad (5.6)$$

It is easy to see that a general solution of this differential equation takes the form

$$u(x) = A \exp\left(-\frac{2\lambda}{x}\right) + B \exp\left(\frac{2\lambda}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad x \in I$$

for some real constants A, B . We are interested in the smallest nonnegative solution $\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot)$ in (5.6), which we obtain by first setting $B = 0$ and then $A = -1/\lambda$. We thus have

$$\widehat{U}_\lambda(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\lambda}{x}\right) \right),$$

which is clearly smaller than the constant function $1/\lambda$. This illustrates the validity of the characterization of an explosive diffusion in (v) of Theorem 4.11. $\square$

Example 5.2. A generalization of Example 5.1. On the state space $I = (0, \infty)$ and with a constant $\kappa \in [1/2, \infty)$, let us consider the stochastic equation

$$X(\cdot) = \xi - \int_0^\cdot (X(t))^2 dW(t) + \kappa \int_0^\cdot (X(t))^3 dt.$$

This corresponds to $\mathfrak{s}(x) = -x^2$ and $\mathfrak{b}(x) = -\kappa x$, thus

$$\mathfrak{f}(x) = \frac{\kappa}{x}, \quad F(x) = \log(x^\kappa), \quad V(x) = \frac{ax^2}{2}$$

with $a := \kappa(\kappa - 1)$, in the notation of Subsection 3.1.1. With the three-dimensional Bessel process $R(\cdot) = 1/X^o(\cdot)$ of (5.2), the representation (3.6) now provides the distribution of the explosion time S of $X(\cdot)$ with $\nu := \kappa - 1/2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S > T) &= \mathbb{E}^o \left[\frac{1}{(\xi R(T))^\kappa} \exp \left(-\frac{a}{2} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{R(t)^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^o \left[\frac{1}{(\xi R(T))^{2\kappa-1}} \cdot (\xi R(T))^{\nu-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{\nu^2 - 1/4}{2} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{R(t)^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^\nu} \left[\frac{1}{(\xi R(T))^{2\nu}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \xi^{-\nu} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2T\xi^2} \right) \int_0^\infty x^{1-\nu} \exp \left(\frac{-x^2}{2T} \right) I_\nu \left(\frac{x}{\xi T} \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

in terms of the modified Bessel function of the second type

$$I_\nu(u) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{(u/2)^{\nu+2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \nu + 1)} \quad (5.8)$$

and the Gamma function $\Gamma(\cdot)$. Here, we used $\mathbb{Q}^\nu$ to denote the probability measure under which $R(\cdot)$ is a Bessel process in dimension $\delta = 2(\nu + 1) = 2\kappa + 1 \geq 2$. For this change of measure, see Exercise XI.1.22 in Revuz and Yor (1999); the density function $p^\nu(\cdot)$ of the Bessel process $R(\cdot)$ under $\mathbb{Q}^\nu$ is provided on page 446 of Revuz and Yor (1999). Alternatively, we could have used Formula (1.20.7) on page 448 of Borodin and Salminen (2002) for the case $\kappa \geq 1$. We shall further simplify these computations in Example 5.8.

From Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, the function in (5.7) is the smallest nonnegative solution of the linear parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \frac{x^4}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + \kappa x^3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x), \quad (\tau, x) \in (0, \infty) \times I,$$

subject to the initial condition $\mathcal{U}(0+, x) \equiv 1$ for $x \in I$. $\square$

Example 5.3. Another generalization of Example 5.1, and h -transforms. The special case $I = (0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x) = 1/x$, or equivalently $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \mathfrak{s}(x)/x$, corresponds exactly to the situation in which the diffusion $X(\cdot)$ is the h -transform of the nonnegative local martingale $X^o(\cdot)$; see for example Doob (1957) or Section 3.3 in Perkowski and Ruf (2012). We observe that Example 5.1 is a special case of this setup. The above choices lead to $F(x) = \log(x)$ and $V(\cdot) \equiv 0$ in the notation of Subsection 3.1.1, and (3.6) becomes

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \frac{1}{\xi} \mathbb{E}^o [X^o(T) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{S^o > T\}}] = \frac{1}{\xi} \mathbb{E}^o [X^o(T \wedge S^o)], \quad 0 \leq T < \infty \quad (5.9)$$

for the diffusion $X^o(\cdot)$ in natural scale of (2.13). This can be seen from first principles, as $X^o(\cdot)$ is a nonnegative local martingale, which gets absorbed at the origin the first time it reaches it. Consequently,

we have $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$ if and only if the stopped diffusion in natural scale $X^o(\cdot)$ of (2.13) is a martingale.

Let us recall from part (iii) in Proposition 2.1 that we have $v(\infty) = \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$, if and only if the condition in (2.11) holds. That is, $X^o(\cdot)$ is a martingale, if and only if the condition in (2.11) holds; see also Delbaen and Shirakawa (2002). Alternatively, we observe that the process $Y(\cdot) = 1/X(\cdot)$ satisfies the equation

$$Y(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\xi} - \int_0^\cdot Y^2(t) \cdot \mathfrak{s} \left(\frac{1}{Y(t)} \right) dW(t)$$

and reaches the origin if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{z}{z^4 \mathfrak{s}^2(1/z)} dz = \infty.$$

by (2.10). This, however, is again equivalent to (2.11).

The equation for $Y(\cdot)$ can be solved by the familiar method of time-changing a standard Brownian motion $B(\cdot)$, namely

$$Y(t) = \frac{1}{\xi} + B(A(t)); \quad \text{here} \quad A(t) = \int_0^t h^2(Y(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

with $h(x) := x^2 \mathfrak{s}(1/x)$, is the inverse of the continuous, strictly increasing, real-valued function

$$\Gamma(u) := \int_0^u h^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{\xi} + B(v) \right) dv, \quad 0 \leq u < \infty$$

with $\Gamma(\infty) = \infty$. The explosion time S of $X(\cdot)$ is thus related to the first hitting time

$$\tau := \inf \left\{ u \geq 0 : B(u) = -\frac{1}{\xi} \right\}$$

for the auxiliary Brownian motion $B(\cdot)$ via

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > A(T)) = \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(\tau) > T). \quad (5.10)$$

We now consider the special case $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \kappa x^p$ for all $x > 0$ for some real numbers $\kappa > 0$, $p > 0$. First, by (2.10), we observe that $X^o(\cdot)$ is a martingale and $\mathbb{P}(S = \infty) = 1$, if and only if $p \leq 1$, that is, the function $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ grows at most linearly. We note that in the case $p = 1/2$ we have

$$dX(t) = \kappa^2 dt + \kappa \sqrt{X(t)} dW(t), \quad X(0) = \xi. \quad (5.11)$$

In particular, with $\kappa = 2$ we see that $X(\cdot)$ is the square of a Bessel process in dimension $\delta = 4$.

For the case $p > 1 = \kappa$ it would be very nice to compute the distribution of the random variable

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{1}{\xi} + B(v) \right)^{2p-4} dv$$

and thus the distribution of the explosion time S via (5.10), but we do not yet know how to do this in general, except in two special cases.

The first exception is the value $p = 2$. With this choice $h(\cdot) \equiv 1$, $\Gamma(u) \equiv u$ and $\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > T)$ is given by the expression of (5.4).

The second exception is the value $p = 3/2$, for which Borodin and Salminen (2002) provide in Formula (2.19.2) on page 208 the distribution of the random variable

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \frac{dv}{(1/\xi) + B(v)} \quad \text{as} \quad \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(\tau) \in dt) = \frac{2}{\xi t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\xi t}\right) dt$$

or equivalently the distribution of the explosion time

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(\tau) > T) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\xi T}\right) = \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \mathbb{E}^o[X^o(T)], \quad 0 < T < \infty \quad (5.12)$$

for the diffusion

$$X(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^\cdot (X(t))^{3/2} dW(t) + \int_0^\cdot (X(t))^2 dt,$$

thus $X^o(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^\cdot (X^o(t))^{3/2} dW^o(t)$. We shall discuss a related diffusion in Example 5.7.

It is now checked “by hand”, that the function $U(T, \xi) = 1 - \exp(-2/(\xi T))$ in (5.12) satisfies the linear parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T}(T, \xi) = \frac{\xi^3}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial \xi^2}(T, \xi) + \xi^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \xi}(T, \xi), \quad (T, \xi) \in (0, \infty) \times I,$$

subject to the initial condition $\mathcal{U}(0+, \cdot) \equiv 1$ on I ; from Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, it is the smallest nonnegative (super)solution of this equation. $\square$

In this last example, we proved the following result, which appeared under slightly stronger assumptions in Delbaen and Shirakawa (2002).

Corollary 5.4. Martingale property of nonnegative diffusions in natural scale. *Suppose that the function $1/\mathfrak{s}(\cdot)$ is locally square-integrable on $I = (0, \infty)$, and that $X^o(\cdot)$ is a nonnegative $\mathbb{P}^o$ -local martingale which satisfies the stochastic differential equation*

$$X^o(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^\cdot \mathfrak{s}(X^o(t)) dW^o(t)$$

and becomes absorbed at the origin the first time it gets there.

Under these conditions, $X^o(\cdot)$ is a true $\mathbb{P}^o$ -martingale if and only if the condition in (2.11) holds.

This statement has been shown in Example 5.3; see for example (5.9).

Example 5.5. Lamperti-Doss-Sussmann for affine variance diffusions. Let us consider a modification of the stochastic differential equation (5.11), namely $I = (0, \infty)$ and

$$dX(t) = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} dt + \kappa \sqrt{X(t)} dW(t), \quad X(0) = \xi \in (0, \infty) \quad (5.13)$$

for some $\kappa > 0$. This corresponds to $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \kappa\sqrt{x}$, $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \kappa/(4\sqrt{x})$, thus

$$\mathfrak{f}(x) = \frac{1}{4x}, \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{4} \log(x), \quad \text{and} \quad V(x) = -\frac{3\kappa^2}{32x}.$$

We note that $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \mathfrak{s}'(x)/2$ holds, so once again the equation (5.13) is of the Lamperti-Doss-Sussmann form (5.5) and can thus be solved pathwise by following the procedure outlined in Subsection 3.2. The result is $X(\cdot) = \vartheta_\xi(W(\cdot))$, now in terms of the function

$$\vartheta_\xi(w) = \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} w + \sqrt{\xi} \right)^2, \quad \text{namely} \quad X(t) = \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} W(t) + \sqrt{\xi} \right)^2, \quad 0 \leq t < S.$$

It follows that S is the first hitting time of the point $(-2\sqrt{\xi}/\kappa)$ by standard Brownian motion started at the origin. Thus, the process $X(\cdot)$ explodes by hitting the left endpoint 0 of the state space; the right endpoint ∞ is inaccessible by the diffusion $X(\cdot)$; that is, $X(S-) = 0$. Of course, this is again consistent with part (i) of Proposition 2.1 since $\int_0^1 \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)dz = 2\kappa < \infty$ and $\int_1^\infty \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)dz = \infty$.

From (3.6), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S > T) &= 2 \int_0^{2\sqrt{\xi}/(\kappa\sqrt{T})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) dy \\ &= \mathbb{E}^o \left[\left(\frac{X^o(T)}{\xi} \right)^{1/4} \exp\left(\frac{3\kappa^2}{32} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{X^o(t)} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{S^o > T\}} \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

here $X^o(\cdot)$ is a martingale and diffusion in natural scale with

$$dX^o(t) = \kappa \sqrt{X^o(t)} dW^o(t), \quad X^o(0) = \xi.$$

Once again, it is easy to check by direct computation that the function of (5.14) solves the linear parabolic equation of (4.6), namely

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \frac{\kappa^2 x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x), \quad (\tau, x) \in (0, \infty) \times I,$$

subject to the initial condition $\mathcal{U}(0+, x) = 1$ for $x \in I$ (and to the lateral condition $\mathcal{U}(T, 0+) = 0$ for $T \in (0, \infty)$). Arguing then by analogy with Proposition 4.6, it is checked that the function of (5.14) is the *smallest nonnegative classical solution of this initial / boundary value problem*. $\square$

Example 5.6. Diffusion with quartic variance function. Let us consider, for some given real number $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = (1 + X^2(t))(dW(t) + (\nu + X(t))dt), \quad X(0) = \xi \quad (5.15)$$

of the form (2.1) with $\mathfrak{s}(x) = 1 + x^2$ and $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \nu + x$ for all $x \in I = \mathbb{R}$. In this case the diffusion in natural scale

$$dX^o(t) = (1 + (X^o(t))^2) dW^o(t), \quad X^o(0) = \xi$$

of (2.13) does satisfy $\mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$ but does *not* satisfy the condition of (2.11): it is a strict local martingale, studied for example in Carr et al. (2013). We have

$$f(x) = \frac{\nu + x}{1 + x^2}, \quad F(x) = \nu \tan^{-1}(x) + \frac{\log(1 + x^2)}{2}, \quad V(x) = \frac{1 + \nu^2}{2},$$

in the notation of Subsection 3.1.1. Then (3.6) and Corollary 1 and Lemma 2 in Carr et al. (2013), which provide a distributional identity of the local martingale $X^o(\cdot)$ in terms of the Brownian motion $W^o(\cdot)$, applied with

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \cos(x + c) / \cos(c), \quad \tilde{f}(x) = \tan(x + c), \quad \tilde{h}(x) = (1 + x^2)^{1/2} \exp(\nu \tan^{-1}(x))$$

and $C = 1$, where $c = \tan^{-1}(\xi)$, yield the representation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(S > T) &= \mathbb{E}^o \left[\left(\frac{1 + (X^o(T))^2}{1 + \xi^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(\nu \tan^{-1}(X^o(T)) - \nu \tan^{-1}(\xi) - \frac{T + \nu^2 T}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^o \left[\exp \left(\nu W^o(T) - \frac{\nu^2 T}{2} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^o > T\}} \right]\end{aligned}$$

with τ^o the first time that $W^o(\cdot)$ hits either

$$a = a(\xi) := -(\pi/2) - \tan^{-1}(\xi) \quad \text{or} \quad b = b(\xi) := (\pi/2) - \tan^{-1}(\xi).$$

Alternatively, we observe that the equation (5.15) is again of the Lamperti-Doss-Sussmann form (5.17) with $\mu(\cdot) \equiv \nu$, and can thus be solved pathwise by the procedure outlined in Subsection 3.2. The end result

$$X(t) = \tan \left(W(t) + \nu t + \tan^{-1}(\xi) \right), \quad 0 \leq t < S,$$

identifies the explosion time as a first exit time for Brownian motion with drift

$$S = \inf \{t \geq 0 : W(t) + \nu t \notin (a, b)\}$$

from the open interval (a, b) . Formula (3.0.2) on page 309 of Borodin and Salminen (2002) now computes the distribution of this exit time as

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \int_T^\infty \exp \left(\frac{-\nu^2 t}{2} \right) \left(\exp(\nu a) \Theta(t; b, b - a) + \exp(\nu b) \Theta(t; -a, b - a) \right) dt, \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$\Theta(t; u, v) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{v - u + 2kv}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp \left(-\frac{(v - u + 2kv)^2}{2t} \right)$$

denotes a certain inverse Laplace transform.

In this example, the explosion time S has actually finite expectation. From Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, the function on the right-hand side of (5.16) is the *smallest nonnegative classical solution of the Cauchy problem*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \frac{(1 + x^2)^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + (\nu + x)(1 + x^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x), \quad (\tau, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$$

with boundary condition $\mathcal{U}(0, x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Example 5.7. Diffusion with cubic variance function. In a similar manner, we consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = (X(t))^{3/2} \left[dW(t) + \left(\nu + \frac{3}{4} (X(t))^{1/2} \right) dt \right], \quad X(0) = \xi \in I$$

with state space $I = (0, \infty)$, for some given real number ν . This equation is of the form (2.1) with $\mathfrak{s}(x) = x^{3/2}$, $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \nu + (3/4)x^{1/2}$, and the diffusion in natural scale

$$dX^o(t) = (X^o(t))^{3/2} dW^o(t), \quad X^o(0) = \xi$$

of (2.13) satisfies the conditions of (2.10). We note that

$$f(x) = \frac{\nu}{x^{3/2}} + \frac{3}{4x}, \quad F(x) = \frac{-2\nu}{\sqrt{x}} + \log(x^{3/4}), \quad V(x) = \frac{\nu^2}{2} - \frac{3x}{32}$$

in the notation of Subsection 3.1.1, so (3.6) gives the representation

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \exp\left(\frac{2\nu}{\sqrt{\xi}} - \frac{\nu^2 T}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}^o\left[\left(\frac{X^o(T)}{\xi}\right)^{3/4} \exp\left(\frac{3}{32} \int_0^T X^o(t) dt - \frac{2\nu}{\sqrt{X^o(T)}}\right)\right].$$

This probability can be computed explicitly, once the stochastic equation is cast in the Lamperti-Doss-Sussmann form (5.17) with $\mu(\cdot) \equiv \nu$. Following the methodology of Subsection 3.2, we obtain the diffusion $X(\cdot)$ explicitly as

$$X(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} - \frac{1}{2}(W(t) + \nu t)\right)^{-2}, \quad 0 \leq t < S.$$

This shows that the origin is inaccessible by the diffusion $X(\cdot)$, which can only explode to infinity, consistent with part (i) of Proposition 2.1. The explosion happens at the Brownian first passage time

$$S = \inf\left\{t \geq 0 : W(t) + \nu t = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\xi}}\right\},$$

whose distribution is of course well known, namely

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \int_T^\infty \left(\frac{2}{\pi \xi t^3}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\xi}} - \nu t\right)^2\right) dt =: U(T, \xi);$$

see also Section 3.5.C in Karatzas and Shreve (1991). In particular, with $\nu < 0$ we have $\mathbb{P}(S < \infty) = \exp(4\nu/\sqrt{\xi})$; whereas, with $\nu \geq 0$, we have $\mathbb{P}(S < \infty) = 1$. It is checked by direct computation, that the function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ solves the parabolic partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \frac{x^3}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^2}(\tau, x) + \left(\nu x^{3/2} + \frac{3}{4} x^2\right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x}(\tau, x), \quad (\tau, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$$

with boundary condition $\mathcal{U}(0, x) \equiv 1$ for all $x \in I$. Indeed, from Proposition 4.6, the above function $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the smallest nonnegative solution of this linear parabolic equation. $\square$

A related example is discussed in Corollary 1 of Andreasen (2001).

Example 5.8. Bessel process of dimension $\delta \in (-\infty, 2)$. Let us consider the stochastic equation

$$dX(t) = \frac{\delta - 1}{2X(t)} dt + dW(t), \quad X(0) = \xi$$

with state space $I = (0, \infty)$ and dimension $\delta \in (-\infty, 2)$. The solution of this equation does not explode to infinity, but reaches the origin in finite time: $\mathbb{P}(S < \infty) = 1$, where $S = \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} S'_n$ with $S'_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \leq 1/n\}$.

In our notation $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot) \equiv 1$ and, with $\nu = 1 - \delta/2 \geq 0$, we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1/2 - \nu}{x}, \quad F(x) = \log(x^{1/2 - \nu}), \quad V(x) = \frac{\nu^2 - 1/4}{2x^2}$$

in the notation of Subsection 3.1.1. The representation (3.6) then helps us compute the distribution of S as the expectation of a functional of the Brownian motion $X^o(t) = \xi + W(t)$, $0 \leq t < S^o$ with $S^o = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \xi + W(t) = 0\}$; to wit,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S > T) &= \mathbb{E}^o \left[\left(\frac{X^o(T)}{\xi} \right)^{-2\nu} \cdot \left(\frac{X^o(T)}{\xi} \right)^{\nu+1/2} \exp \left(\frac{1/4 - \nu^2}{2} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{(X^o(t))^2} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{S^o > T\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^\nu} \left[\left(\frac{X^o(T)}{\xi} \right)^{-2\nu} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \xi^\nu \exp \left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2T} \right) \int_0^\infty x^{1-\nu} \exp \left(\frac{-x^2}{2T} \right) I_\nu \left(\frac{\xi x}{T} \right) dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

in terms of the modified Bessel function of the second type $I_\nu(\cdot)$, given in (5.8). Here, $\mathbb{Q}^\nu$ denotes again the probability measure under which the process $X^o(\cdot)$ is a Bessel process of dimension $2\nu + 2 = 4 - \delta > 2$; the change of measure is proved in Exercise XI.1.22, and the density of the process $X^o(\cdot)$ is derived on page 446, of Revuz and Yor (1999). The above expression becomes exactly the same as that of (5.7) in Example 5.2, if one replaces the initial condition $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ by its reciprocal.

Now with the help of the monotone convergence theorem and of the substitutions $z = x/\sqrt{2T}$ and $y = \xi^2/(2T)$, the expression in (5.17) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S > T) &= 2y^{\nu/2} \exp(-y) \int_0^\infty z^{1-\nu} \exp(-z^2) I_\nu(2z\sqrt{y}) dz \\ &= 2y^{\nu/2} \exp(-y) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{y^{\nu/2+k}}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \int_0^\infty z^{1+2k} \exp(-z^2) dz \\ &= \exp(-y) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{y^{\nu+k}}{\Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^y \exp(-\theta) \theta^{\nu-1} d\theta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^{\xi^2/(2T)} \exp(-\theta) \theta^{\nu-1} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{G} < \frac{\xi^2}{2T}\right) = H\left(\frac{\xi^2}{2T}\right), \quad \text{where} \quad H(u) := \int_0^u \frac{t^{\nu-1} \exp(-t)}{\Gamma(\nu)} dt$$

is the cumulative Gamma(ν) probability distribution function, and the random variable $\mathfrak{G}$ has Gamma distribution with parameter ν .

Of course, it is well known from the time-reversal considerations in Section 2.1 of Göing-Jaesche and Yor (2003) – based on the results in Gettoor (1979) and Pitman and Yor (1981) – that S has the distribution of $\xi^2/(2\mathfrak{G})$; see also Section 14 in Kent (1982). Here we just derived this fact from rather elementary Bessel process computations, without any need for time-reversal.

It is very easy now to check “by hand” that the function $(T, \xi) \mapsto \mathbb{P}_\xi(S > T)$ is a classical solution of the linear parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial T}(T, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}}{\partial \xi^2}(T, \xi) + \frac{\delta - 1}{2\xi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial \xi}(T, \xi), \quad (T, \xi) \in (0, \infty) \times I,$$

and satisfies also the initial condition $\mathcal{U}(0+, \xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in I$ and the lateral condition $\mathcal{U}(T, 0+) = 0$ for $T \in (0, \infty)$. From Proposition 4.6, it is the *smallest nonnegative classical solution* of this initial / boundary value problem. $\square$

Remark 5.1. A generalization of Example 5.8. Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (1978) construct, for a given set of parameters, a one-dimensional diffusion $X(\cdot)$ on the interval $I = (0, \infty)$ such that the corresponding time to explosion S has a generalized Gamma distribution. If the parameters are chosen so that the generalized Gamma distribution is exactly a Gamma distribution, then their construction yields the Bessel process of Example 5.8. $\square$

Example 5.9. Explosion to infinity. Let us now consider the situation with $I = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{s}(\cdot) \equiv 1$ and $\mathfrak{b}(x) = \exp(\beta x)$ for some $\beta > 0$. In this case the Brownian motion $X^o(\cdot) = \xi + W^o(\cdot)$ has no explosions, i.e., $\mathbb{P}^o(S^o = \infty) = 1$; the process $X(\cdot)$ with dynamics

$$X(\cdot) = \xi + \int_0^\cdot \exp(\beta X(t)) dt + W(\cdot) \quad (5.18)$$

explodes (to $+\infty$) in finite time, that is $\mathbb{P}(S < \infty) = 1$; and

$$\mathbb{P}(S > T) = \mathbb{E}^o \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \left(e^{\beta X^o(T)} - e^{\beta \xi} \right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T e^{\beta X^o(t)} dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T e^{2\beta X^o(t)} dt \right) \right]$$

holds for all $T \in (0, \infty)$. From Formula (1.30.7) on page 196 in Borodin and Salminen (2002), we obtain the distribution of the explosion time as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S > T) = \beta^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\exp((e^{\beta z} - e^{\beta \xi})/\beta - (\beta y/2))}{8 \sinh(\beta y/2)} \exp\left(-\frac{e^{\beta \xi} + e^{\beta z}}{\beta \tanh(\beta y/2)}\right) \\ \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\beta^2 T/8} \left(\frac{2e^{\beta(\xi+z)/2}}{\beta \sinh(\beta y/2)} \right) dy dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{i}_t(z) := \mathcal{L}_t^{-1} \left(I_{\sqrt{t}}(z) \right) = \frac{z \exp(\pi^2/(4t))}{\pi \sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left(-z \cosh(u) - \frac{u^2}{4t} \right) \sinh(u) \sin \left(\frac{\pi u}{2t} \right) du$$

denotes an inverse Laplace transform related to the modified Bessel function of the second type in (5.8). The substitutions of $\beta y/2$ by ψ , and of $\exp(\beta z/2)/\sqrt{\beta}$ by ζ , and the change of variable

$$\eta = \frac{\exp(\beta \xi/2)}{\sqrt{\beta}},$$

transform this expression as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S > T) = \frac{2 \exp(2\pi^2/(\beta^2 T)) \sqrt{2} \eta}{\pi \beta \sqrt{\pi T}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\zeta^2 - \eta^2 - \psi)}{(\sinh(\psi))^2} \exp \left(-\frac{\eta^2 + \zeta^2}{\tanh(\psi)} \right) \\ \cdot \exp \left(-2\eta \zeta \frac{\cosh(u)}{\sinh(\psi)} - \frac{2u^2}{\beta^2 T} \right) \sinh(u) \sin \left(\frac{4\pi u}{\beta^2 T} \right) du d\psi d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

References

- Andreasen, J. (2001). Credit explosives. Preprint, <http://ssrn.com/abstract=262682>.
- Assing, S. and Senf, T. (1991). On stochastic differential equations without drift. *Stochastics and Stochastic Reports*, 36:21–39.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O., Blaesild, P., and Halgreen, C. (1978). First hitting time models for the generalized inverse Gaussian distribution. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 7(1):49–54.
- Borodin, A. and Salminen, P. (2002). *Handbook of Brownian Motion - Facts and Formulae*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2nd edition.
- Carr, P., Fisher, T., and Ruf, J. (2013). Why are quadratic normal volatility models analytically tractable? *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics*, 4:185–202.
- Cherny, A. S. and Engelbert, H.-J. (2005). *Singular Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer, New York.
- Delbaen, F. and Shirakawa, H. (2002). No arbitrage condition for positive diffusion price processes. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets*, 9:159–168.
- Doob, J. L. (1957). Conditional Brownian motion and the boundary limits of harmonic functions. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 85:431–458.
- Doss, H. (1977). Liens entre équations différentielles stochastiques et ordinaires. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et Statistiques*, 13(2):99–125.
- Dynkin, E. (1965). *Markov Processes: Volumes 1 and 2*. Springer, Berlin.
- Ekström, E. and Tysk, J. (2009). Bubbles, convexity and the Black-Scholes equation. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 19(4):1369–1384.
- Engelbert, H. and Schmidt, W. (1984). One-dimensional stochastic differential equations with generalized drift. *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, 69:143–155.
- Engelbert, H. and Schmidt, W. (1991). Strong Markov continuous local martingales and solutions of one-dimensional stochastic differential equations (Part III). *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 151(1):149–197.
- Fernholz, D. and Karatzas, I. (2010). On optimal arbitrage. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 20(4):1179–1204.
- Fernholz, D. and Karatzas, I. (2011). Optimal arbitrage under model uncertainty. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 21(6):2191–2225.
- Friedman, A. (1964). *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
- Friedman, A. (1976). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications: Volumes 1 and 2*. Academic Press, New York.
- Gettoor, R. (1979). The Brownian escape process. *Annals of Probability*, 7(5):864–867.

- Göing-Jaeschke, A. and Yor, M. (2003). A survey and some generalizations of Bessel processes. *Bernoulli*, 9(2):313–349.
- Hartman, P. (1982). *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Birkhäuser, Boston, second edition.
- Heath, D. and Schweizer, M. (2000). Martingales versus PDEs in finance: an equivalence result with examples. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 37:947 – 957.
- Itô, K. (2006). *Essentials of Stochastic Processes*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 231. American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- Itô, K. and McKean, H. P. (1965). *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*. Springer, New York.
- Janson, S. and Tysk, J. (2006). Feynman-Kac formulas for Black-Scholes-type operators. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 38(2):269–282.
- Johnson, G. and Helms, L. (1963). Class D supermartingales. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 69:59–62.
- Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S. E. (1991). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, New York, 2nd edition.
- Kent, J. T. (1978). Some probabilistic properties of Bessel functions. *Annals of Probability*, 6(5):760–770.
- Kent, J. T. (1982). The spectral decomposition of a diffusion hitting time. *Annals of Probability*, 10(1):207–219.
- Khoshnevisan, D., Salminen, P., and Yor, M. (2006). A note on a.s. finiteness of perpetual integral functionals of diffusions. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 11:108–117.
- Lamperti, J. (1964). A simple construction of certain diffusion processes. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 4(1):161–170.
- McKean, H. P. (1969). *Stochastic Integrals*. Academic Press, New York.
- Mijatović, A. and Urusov, M. (2012). Convergence of integral functionals of one-dimensional diffusions. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 17(61):1–13.
- Perkowski, N. and Ruf, J. (2012). Conditioned martingales. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 17(48):1–12.
- Pitman, J. and Yor, M. (1981). Bessel processes and infinitely divisible laws. In *Stochastic Integrals*, pages 285–370. LMS Durham Symposium 1980.
- Pitman, J. and Yor, M. (2003). Hitting, occupation and inverse local times of one-dimensional diffusions: martingale and excursion approaches. *Bernoulli*, 9(1):1–24.
- Revuz, D. and Yor, M. (1999). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer, Berlin, 3rd edition.
- Rosenbloom, P. (1958). A temperature function which vanishes initially. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 65(8):607–609.

- Ruf, J. (2013a). Hedging under arbitrage. *Mathematical Finance*, 23(2):297–317.
- Ruf, J. (2013b). A new proof for the conditions of Novikov and Kazamaki. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 123:404–421.
- Salminen, P. and Vallois, P. (2009). On subexponentiality of the Lévy measure of the diffusion inverse local time; with applications to penalizations. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 14:1963–1991.
- Sussmann, H. J. (1978). On the gap between deterministic and stochastic ordinary differential equations. *Annals of Probability*, 6(1):19–41.